S.37

-	 	
1		

## **SECTION 131 FORM**

ABP— 314485		Defer Re O/H
from TU   Cone and Development Act, 2000 b	I recommend Inot be invoked at this sta	received 18 12 20 I that section 131 of the Planning ge for the following reason(s):
Section 131 not to be invoked — a	-	
Signed  EO  Signed  SEO/SAO	Date  Date  Date	12)24
1	tion 131 notice enclosing a	a copy of the attached submission.  Allow 2/3/4 weeks
Signed	Date	BP
EO Signed	Date	

BP40



## **Planning Appeal Online Observation**

Online Reference NPA-OBS-004111

Online Observation Details		
Contact Name Ian Graney	Lodgement Date 18/12/2024 15:34:21	Case Number / Description 314485
Payment Details		
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name lan Graney	Payment Amount €50.00
Processing Section  s.131 Consideration Required  Yes — See attached 13  Signed  EO	31 Form Date	N/A - Invalid
Fee Refund Requisition		
Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of		ement No
€	LD	G-076305-24
Reason for Refund		
Documents Returned to Observer  Yes  Signed  EO		est Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval Yes No
Yes No		
Yes No	Date	Yes No
Yes No	Date	
Yes No	Date Chec Xs1iJ	Yes No
Signed  EO  Finance Section  Payment Reference  ch_3QXPGTB1CW0EN5FC1UI	Date Chec Xs1iJ EO/A	Yes No
Finance Section Payment Reference ch_3QXPGTB1CW0EN5FC1UI	Date Chec Xs1iJ EO/A	Yes No  ked Against Fee Income Online A (Accounts Section)
Signed  EO  Finance Section  Payment Reference  ch_3QXPGTB1CW0EN5FC1UI	Date Chec Xs1iJ EO/A Refu	Yes No  ked Against Fee Income Online A (Accounts Section)
Signed  EO  Finance Section  Payment Reference  ch_3QXPGTB1CW0EN5FC1UL  Amount  €	Chec Xs1iJ EO/A Refu	Ked Against Fee Income Online  A (Accounts Section)  Ind Date  Orised By (2)  C Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board
Signed  EO  Finance Section  Payment Reference  ch_3QXPGTB1CW0EN5FC1UI  Amount  €  Authorised By (1)	Chec Xs1iJ  EO/A  Reful  Auth  Chief	Ked Against Fee Income Online  A (Accounts Section)  Ind Date  Orised By (2)  C Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board

### TUI Group Consultation Response – 18th December 2024

Response on behalf of: TUI Group, including TUIfly Nordic (BLX) and TUI Airways (TOM)

Mr. Ian Graney - Schedules Strategy and Development Manager TUI Group Wigmore House Wigmore Lane Luton LU2 9TN

# Consultation under section 37R6(a) of the Aircraft Noise (Dublin Airport) Regulation Act 2019

Draft Regulatory Decision of An Bord Pleanála dated 11 September 2024 (the "Draft Decision")

Case Number - 314485

1 - 1 -1

**Condition 3** - North Runway shall not be used for take-off or landing between 12am and 6am (except in cases of safety, maintenance and adverse weather etc.) NR shall only be used for departure only between the hours of 6am and 8am.

1 Comments on impact of condition

Limiting the runway usage limits the ability of Dublin Airport and its carriers to fully exploit the additional capacity and operational benefits that the North Runway could otherwise bring to the airport. The North Runway should be made available, to operations through the full 24hours of an operational day.

2 Comments on alternatives

No comments

**Condition 4** - Airport will be subject to a Noise Quota Count with an annual limit of 16,260 between 11pm and 7am.

1 Comments on impact of condition

A Noise Quota Count (QC) system is a much more pragmatic method of assessing, and controlling night noise generated at an airport, relating directly to the *amount* of noise created rather than noise occurrences. This better reflects and supports the re-fleeting that many airlines are embarking upon to reduce noise and emissions, and allows for capacity growth alongside fleet developments. Any QC limit should not be set at or below the historic schedule, to allow headroom for operational over-runs, and future schedule growth. TUI would suggest a minimum 20% buffer above the historic schedule as per W24 and S25, both of which are unrestricted seasons (relating to the passenger cap situation). TUI does not believe that the period 0600-0700 (local) should be considered as night operations, this differs from the parameters that airports in the UK operate to, and the 0600h (local) is a peak hour and therefore applying a limit is extremely limiting.

2 Comments on alternatives

No comments

**Condition 5** - Airport is subject to an annual aircraft movement limit of 13,000 between the hours of 11pm and 7am inclusive. Aircraft movements split between Winter (3,900) and Summer (9,100) to allow for extra flights during the 92-day summer period.

1 Comments on impact of condition

TUI does not support the continuation of a potential movement-based limit, this is currently significantly below the current historic schedule, and also includes the 06h (local), which is a peak hour for departures. The proposed night period would severely limit operations, potentially meaning carriers cannot operate in a profitable way. The proposed limit makes it impossible to have access to the night at both the start and end of the day, massively limiting carriers ability to schedule short-haul flight effectively (typically 2 return flights from Dublin, per day). We believe that the requirement for a movement limit itself should be reviewed as Tui do not believe that it is necessary along side the QC limits. If it is retained its timings should be reviewed and where appropriate adjusted, to reflect timings typically used at UK airports, and to a movement limit that is above the historic schedule, to provide an operational and growth buffer.

2 Comments on alternatives

Our overall preference is to support a Quota Count (QC) limit, rather than a movement limit.

**Condition 6** - Relates to the Residential Sound Insultation Grant Scheme (RSIGS) for residential dwellings within the 55dBLnight contour, or dwellings within 50dB night contour who experience a change of +9dB and Residential dwellings or, subject to 80dB LAmax between 11pm and 7am.

1 Comments on impact of condition

TUI does not have any comments regarding this scheme.

2 Comments on alternatives

TUI does not have any comments regarding this scheme.

#### Any further comments

Any implementation of existing or new night restrictions should not reduce carriers ability to fly in the night versus the current schedule, nor should it restrict the much needed growth that the North Runway provides. The additional runway not only provides opportunity for operational improvements, but provides additional capacity that supports carrier growth, new entrants (stimulating competition), and provides significant economic benefits for the Dublin area and Ireland as a whole, including jobs, supporting local business and both in- and outbound tourism traffic.